

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMME United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/619,152	<u> </u>	07/14/2003	Scott Duncan	9370a	6782
21905	7590	05/14/2004		EXAMINER	
CONNORS ASSOCIATES				BRINSON, PATRICK F	
1600 DOVE SUITE 220	ST			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEWPORT BEACH, CA 92660			3752		

DATE MAILED: 05/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$M_{\ell}$				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del></del>				
	10/619,152	DUNCAN, SCOTT					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Patrick F. Brinson	3752					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover s	sheet with the correspondence ad	dress				
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a recommunication if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state than three months after the mained patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I.  1.136(a). In no event, however  pply within the statutory minin  d will apply and will expire SI  ute, cause the application to t	er, may a reply be timely filed  num of thirty (30) days will be considered timely X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co	/. ommunication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·						
·	ince this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	r Ex parte Quayle, 19	35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	i/or election requirem	ient.					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			ED 4 404(4)				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the	attached Office Action of form Fi	0-132.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ul>	ents have been recei	ved.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pi			Stage				
application from the International Bure			_				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li							
Attachment(s)	·	nterview Summary (PTO-413)					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>	F	Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	, <del>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </del>	Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTo Other:	O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/619,152

Art Unit: 3752

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 6-10, 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 6,082,183 to **Huber**.

The patent to **Huber** discloses, fig. 7, a barrier used during testing of a water line including an upstream pipe and a down stream pipe. The barrier comprising a cylindrical wall and a planar disk (162) disposed within the wall member substantially at a right angle to the cylindrical wall. The disk formed within the wall member. Figs. 10 and 11 illustrate what appears to be on the one side of the planar disk is a first region (106) and a second region (105) sized to receive upstream (101) and downstream (103) pipe sections and provide a watertight fit therewith. Though the upstream and downstream pipe sections are not illustrated in this embodiment, this sleeve feature is similarly shown in fig. 7. The upstream and downstream sections each have the same diameter. The disk is removable and acts as a barrier that prevents water from flowing from the upstream pipe into the downstream pipe until

Application/Control Number: 10/619,152

Art Unit: 3752

removed, as recited in claims 1, 7 and 13. Col. 12, lines 41-52, discloses that the barrier provides a flexible or puncturable membrane that may be easily removed, as by hand or with the aid of a screwdriver, awl or other instrument, as recited in claims 2 and 9. Col. 13, lines 2-6 discloses that the test baffles and sleeves are constructed of suitably strong, but flexible materials such as natural or synthetic rubber or other suitable polymer, as recited in claims 4 and 10. The patent to **Huber** discloses all the recited structure with the exception of the cylindrical wall and the planar disk being a molded unitary, single piece body. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the cylindrical wall and planar disk as a single, unitary body, since it has been held that forming in one piece an article which has formerly been formed in two pieces and put together involves only routine skill in the art.

2. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 4,602,504 to **Barber**.

The patent to **Barber** discloses, figs. 4-10, a barrier used during testing of a water line including an upstream pipe (71) and a down stream pipe (70). The barrier comprising a cylindrical wall (72) and a planar disk (60) disposed within the wall member substantially at a right angle to the cylindrical wall. The disk formed within the wall member. Figs. 5 and 9 disclose a first region on one side of the planar disk sized to receive the upstream pipe (71) and providing a water tight fit therewith and a

Art Unit: 3752

second region on the other side of the planar disk receiving the downstream pipe (70) and providing a water tight fit therewith, each region having the same diameter, with the disk being removable and acting as a barrier that prevents water from flowing from the upstream pipe into the downstream pipe until removed, as recited in claims 1, 6, 7, 12 and 13. The seal contains pull ring (67) that allows for the rupture of the disk upon completion of testing, as recited in claims 2 and 9. Fig. 9 discloses the upstream and down stream pipes abutting against the support flange (62) of planar disk (60), as recited in claims 5 and 11. Col. 6, lines 6 and 7, discloses that the seals may be made from preferred materials including plastics and rubber, as recited in claims 4 and 10. The patent to **Barber** discloses all the recited structure with the exception of the cylindrical wall and the planar disk being a molded unitary, single piece body. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the cylindrical wall and planar disk as a single, unitary body, since it has been held that forming in one piece an article which has formerly been formed in two pieces and put together involves only routine skill in the art.

## Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Britton et al., Barber '482, Huber '350, '510, '515, '363, '584, Sullivan and Palmer are all pertinent to Applicant's invention in

Art Unit: 3752

disclosing tear out barrier utilized in water lines for temporarily blocking the passage of water there through.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Patrick F. Brinson** whose telephone number is (703) 308-0111. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Michael Y. Mar** can be reached on (703) 308-2087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patrick F. Brinson
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3752

P. F. Brinson